

## UNITED STACES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

S: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. W **JONES** 21583-B-USA 09/022,336 02/11/98 **EXAMINER** IM52/0411 GARY A HECHT MAPLES, J PAPER NUMBER SYNNESTVEDT & LECHNER ART UNIT 2600 ARAMARK TOWER 1745 1101 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA PA 19107-2950 DATE MAILED: 04/11/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

Int rvi w Summary	Application N	Applicant(s)
	09/022,336	JONES ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	John S. Maples	1745
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) John S. Maples.	(3)	
(2) Gary Hecht.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 April 2001.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)☐ applicant's representativ	re]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  If Yes, brief description: actual cages and containers for the catalyst material including the Teflon film.		
Claim(s) discussed: of record.		
Identification of prior art discussed: CL, Marui and German 2904842.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	copy of the amendments that v	reed would render the claims would render the claims
<ul> <li>i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a second checked).</li> </ul>	eparate record of the substanc	ce of the interview(if box is
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action	Examiner's sign	nature, if required



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Many issues were discussed. Applicant is planning to file an RCE in response to the outstanding action. Claim 15 was discussed and applicant stated that claim 15 will be amended to claim the coating more specifically-such as a Teflon piece around the container. The applicant argued that Marui does not encase the container with the coating. It was noted that all of the independent claims except for claim 42 state that the container is encased by the coating. Applicant is planning to amend the claims to recite the coating as a Teflon tape or solid film of Teflon or as a solid Teflon wrap to define over the teachings in Marui that the container is "treated" with this material. Applicant will also argue the patentability of the limitations in the claims drawn to the cage feature. It was noted that related case 09/461,552 is being handled by the same examiner as the present case.